

MAIN OFFICE 605 THIRD STREET ENCINITAS, CALIFORNIA 92024 T 800.450.1818 F 760.632.0164



TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

To: Dawn Grantham, Crestline Sanitation District

Author(s): Phil Giori, PE (Dudek)

Date: 1/23/2024

Subject: Master Plan and CIP Update

1 Introduction

The District previously contracted with Dudek to prepare a comprehensive Master Plan Update in 2018, which assessed District facilities, capacity, and provided recommendations for capital improvement projects, schedules, and cost estimates to guide the next 7 years of infrastructure improvement needs. Since 2018, the District has completed or is in the process of completing several projects, including an \$11M upgrade to the Huston Creek WWTP which will include a new primary clarifier, sludge handling and dewatering facilities, trickling filter recirculation pump replacement, and upgrades to the existing electrical service and provision of backup power for the facility.

These improvement needs were identified in the 2018 Master Plan as a top priority, and now that they are near completion, the District is in need of updating the CIP projects, schedules, and cost estimates to better reflect current engineering and construction costs, which have escalated due to inflation and supply chain constraints since 2020. The facilities assessment component of the previous master plan is not updated in this Master Plan and CIP update, as much of the evaluation is still relevant and does not require comprehensive update for another 5-10 years. Ultimately, the Master Plan Update is intended to inform and guide future rate studies and evaluate the potential need and extent of rate increases that may be required for the District to support their Capital Improvement Program and continue to provide high quality services for their customers and community.

1.1 Objectives

This Master Plan and Capital Improvement Plan update identifies, prioritizes, and budgets recommended capital improvement projects for the District. The CIP establishes immediate to long-term recommended projects and planning budgets for the District and includes an annual escalation of project costs to account for cost increases in professional services and construction due to inflation. The development of the CIP project recommendations is based on the analysis completed for the 2018 Master plan, with updates founded on input from District staff regarding changes in observed condition, process performance, and risk and reliability. Updates include new projects, updates to the priority and scheduling of previously identified projects, scope, and cost updates. The new CIP recommendations serve to aid the District in completing a new rate study to maintain funding requirements for infrastructure improvements.

1.2 Methodology

Through multiple workshops with the District, new projects were identified for inclusion in the District's CIP while existing project scopes were confirmed, modified, and/or updated to reflect current facilities needs and new developments.

Budgetary Cost Estimating

A budgetary cost is estimated for each defined project. The cost estimates are based on anticipated construction cost values with a contingency and "soft cost" (e.g. planning, design, administrative) multipliers added to define a total "project cost".

Estimates of probable construction costs include consideration of:

- Vendor quotes and published catalog costs for major equipment and mechanical components. Material
 and equipment quotes. Multipliers for delivery, in-field services, installation, tools, parts, labor, and
 contractor overhead and profit are applied to derive an installed unit cost.
- Parametric unit cost values derived from recent similar projects for demolition, piping, civil work, and electrical work. Scaling factors are applied to adjust for size and complexity.
- Unit cost factors developed for specific components of the project, as applicable.
- Annual escalation rate to account for inflation is included on all projects at an assumed rate of 3% per year.
- Project costs developed in 2018 with the previous Master Plan are updated using the Engineering News Record's (ENR) Construction Cost Index (CCI) (see section 1.1.2) for scope elements of the project that remain unchanged.

Cost Indices

In developing project cost estimates, it is common to use historical data from similar projects, (e.g. detailed cost estimates, bids from constructed projects). To be relevant to the immediate project, the date and geographical region of the cost data must be considered. The industry standard barometer of changes in construction market conditions over time is the Engineering News Record's (ENR) Construction Cost Index (CCI). This index is computed from constant quantities of structural steel (weighted 15%), Portland cement (2%), lumber (10%), and common labor (73%) in 20 cities, the average of which is considered to be the national average and based on a value of 100 in 1913 (Sanks, 852). Similarly, the CCI is regionalized using the Los Angeles ENR-CCI index. Construction estimates are normalized in time by proportioning values to the index existing at the time of the estimate or bid. Where applicable, the cost estimates for the recommended projects in this CIP are normalized to the Los Angeles ENR-CCI for September 2023 of 15,157.5 to represent present dollars.

Contingency

Project contingencies are applied to cover uncertainties in the estimating practice including unknown or unforeseen costs. Industry standard contingencies can range from 10% to 35%, depending on the confidence level of the estimate (i.e., project stage, risk, scope development, engineering constraints, etc.). Unless noted otherwise, a 35% contingency is added to the estimated project costs herein.



Implementation Costs

Implementation cost allowances (a.k.a. "soft costs") are included in the project estimates for costs directly associated with delivering a project from planning through construction that are not included in the construction estimate (i.e. planning, design, permitting, construction management/inspection, project administration, and commissioning and closeout). Projects with lower construction costs tend to have a larger percentage of project delivery (soft) costs, while the larger projects tend to have a smaller percentage of soft costs. This is primarily due to the economy of scale associated with projects.

Table 1.1 presents the project implementation allowances (soft cost) classification system utilized in the CIP recommendations. Each project is assigned a "Soft Cost Class" of A, B, C, or D, depending on the project size and complexity. Projects that do not fit into one of these four classifications are listed as "Project Specific", and soft costs are assigned based on recent similar projects and experience.

Table 1.1 Summary of Soft Cost Classification System

Soft Cost Class	Category	% of Construction Cost	Comments
	Engineering	8%	Projects that are relatively simple (e.g. long
Α	CM & ESDC	15%	pipelines, large pond liners, large (+\$300k) equipment replacement) and/or larger (e.g. full
А	Administration	2%	treatment plant design), possibly with repetitive
	Total Soft Costs	25%	aspects.
	Engineering	10%	Projects of average size and/or complexity (e.g.
В	CM & ESDC	18%	new pump stations, sand filters redesign, equipment replacement)
В	Administration	3%	equipment replacement)
	Total Soft Costs	31%	
	Engineering	15%	Complex and/or small projects (e.g. electrical
С	CM & ESDC	20%	upgrades, SCADA upgrades, small pump station
C	Administration	5%	replacement/rehab)
	Total Soft Costs	40%	
	Engineering	5%	District replaced/installed equipment (e.g. small
D	CM & ESDC	5%	pump replacement, instrument replacement
U	Administration	5%	projects) that do not require engineering design.
	Total Soft Costs	15%	

Engineering = Study, Preliminary, and Final Design
CM = Construction Management (Contract management and inspection)

ESDC = Engineering Services During Construction Administration = District administrative and legal costs

Prioritization

CIP project recommendations are grouped into three categories: immediate works (recommend initiating project within 0-2 years), mid-term (recommend initiating project within 2-6 years), and long-term (recommend initiating



project in 7+ years). Priorities are assigned to projects based on workshops held with District staff to discuss the needs and urgency associated with each project.

2 CIP Recommendations

The following section describes recommended capital improvement projects to be included in the District's 10-year CIP. New recommended CIP projects are identified to address the reliability, performance, and capacity deficiencies identified through workshops with key District staff. Certain projects maintained from the 2018 Master Plan and CIP are re-prioritized as required to represent current priorities and needs. Each CIP project should be evaluated relative to the most current data and information available prior to implementation to verify if the project is the best solution for the District at that time, or if the scope should be adjusted.

2.1 Ongoing / Completed Projects

Since the 2018 Master Plan, the District has either completed or are actively completing the following projects identified in the 2018 Master Plan:

- Huston Creek WWTP Primary Clarifier Replacement
- Huston Creek WWTP Biosolids Dewatering Upgrade
- Huston Creek WWTP Emergency Generator
- Collection System Inflow and Infiltration Analysis (Flow Metering)
- Seeley Creek WWTP Emergency Storage Pond (Design only)

2.2 CIP Overview

The District's wastewater system is categorized into the following facilities:

- Collection System
- Huston Creek WWTP
- Seeley Creek WWTP
- Cleghorn WWTP

The Cleghorn WWTP is broken out separately from the other District facilities due to its unique funding arrangement. The District operates and maintains the plant for California State Parks and therefore, improvements to the Cleghorn WWTP are funded by the State and not District ratepayers.

A summary CIP table with each recommended project and implementation schedule is shown in Figure 1. Project summary sheets are included in Section 3 and include relevant details and cost breakdown for each project.



CRESTLINE SANITATION DISTRICT MASTER PLAN AND CIP UPDATE

Drainet						CIP B	CIP Budget for Fiscal Year Ending In	Year Ending In	•					
No.	Project Name	Priority	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034+	Tol	Total
HC-1	Huston Creek WWTP Retaining Wall	Immediate Works (0-2 Years)	\$ 531,000										<u>-</u>	531,000
CS-1	Collection System Flow Data Analysis and Hydraulic Model Updates	Immediate Works (0-2 Years)	\$ 135,000										· •	135,000
SC-1	Seeley Creek WWTP Access Road Assessment	Immediate Works (0-2 Years)	000'56 \$										•	95,000
SC-2	Seeley Creek WMTP Primary Clarifier Condition Assessment	Immediate Works (0-2 Years)	\$ 142,000										•	142,000
HC-3	Houston Creek WWTP Ongoing Facility Safety Upgrades	Immediate Works (0-2 Years)	\$ 60,000	\$ 346,000									•	406,000
HC-4	Houston Creek WWTP Access Road Replacement	Immediate Works (0-2 Years)	\$ 365,000	\$ 2,131,000									\$ 2,	2,496,000
SC-7	Seeley Creek Primary Clarifier Upgrade	Immediate Works (0-2 Years)		\$ 150,000	\$ 870,000								\$	1,020,000
SC-3	Seeley Creek WMTP Primary ODS Electrical Upgrade	Immediate Works (0-2 Years)		39,000	\$ 228,000	A STATE OF								267,000
LS-1	Lake Gregory Wet Well Capacity Upgrade	Mid-Term (2-6 Years)			\$ 118,000	\$ 690,000							~	808,000
SC-5	Seeley Creek WWTP Access Road Replacement	Mid-Term (2-6 Years)			\$ 684,000	\$ 3,993,000							\$ 4,	4,677,000
SC-4	Seeley Creek WMTP Well Construction	Mid-Term (2-6 Years)				000'68 \$	\$ 516,000						×	000'509
SC-6	Seeley Creek WMTP Ancillary Systems Upgrade	Mid-Term (2-6 Years)				\$ 102,000	\$ 594,000						•	000'969
HC-2	Nutrient Management Study	Mid-Term (2-6 Years)					\$ 304,000						∽	304,000
HC-5	Huston Creek Primary Clarifiers Structural Assessment	Mid-Term (2-6 Years)					\$ 228,000							228,000
9-JH	Huston Creek Primary Clarifier Replacement	Long-Term (7+ Years)						\$ 1,065,000	1,554,000	0 \$ 1,601,000	00 \$ 1,649,000	\$ 1,698,000	1 5	000'295'2
	District Total		\$ 1,328,000	\$ 2,666,000	\$ 1,900,000	\$ 4,874,000	\$ 1,642,000	\$ 1,065,000	\$ 1,554,000	0 \$1,601,000	31,649,000	\$1,698,000	\$ 19,9	19,977,000
hom	Cleghorn WWTP Project Recommendations (State Funded)													
CL-1	Cleghorn WWTP Concrete Structures Rehabilitation	Immediate Works (0-2 Years)	\$ 323,000										•	323,000
CL-2	Cleghorn WWTP Sludge Wasting and Clarifier Upgrades	Mid-Term (2-6 Years)			\$ 34,000	\$ 195,000							~	229,000
CL-3	Cleghorn WWTP Oxidation Ditch Upgrade	Mid-Term (2-6 Years)				\$ 144,000	\$ 839,000						~	983,000
CL-4	Cleghorn WWTP Headworks Upgrade	Mid-Term (2-6 Years)					\$ 444,000	\$ 2,592,000					ę,	3,036,000
CL-5	Cleghorn WWTP On-Site Generation System Upgrade	Long-Term (7+ Years)								\$ 22.000	00 \$ 127,000		5	149,000
	Cleghorn Total (State Funded)		\$ 323,000		\$ 34,000	\$ 339,000	\$ 1,283,000	\$ 2,592,000	•	\$ 22,000	00 \$ 127,000	•	\$ 4,7	4,720,000
	Combined Total (State & District Funded)		\$ 1,651,000	\$ 2,666,000	\$ 1.934.000	\$ 5,213,000	\$ 2,925,000	\$ 3,657,000		0 \$1,623,00	\$ 1,554,000 \$1,623,000 \$1,776,000 \$1,698,000 \$	\$1,698,000		24,697,000

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Based on the recommended CIP, the District requires approximately \$25 million over the next 10 years for infrastructure improvements, distributed between 20 planned projects. The largest proportion of infrastructure improvements are anticipated to be for the Huston Creek and Seeley Creek WWTPs, which are the largest District facilities. Nine new projects have been identified since the 2018 Master Plan, of which six have been prioritized as Immediate Works (0-2 years) and require near-term attention. In total, nine of the planned projects are recommended to be initiated within the next two years, the majority of which are located within Huston Creek WWTP and Seeley Creek WWTP. This may present a challenge for staff to administrate and manage this many projects in a short period of time, therefore, the District may consider consolidating multiple projects that involve similar professional services expertise to reduce administrative burdens and consolidate the number of consultants and contractors required for project implementation.

2.1 Deferred Projects

Of the remaining projects from the 2018 Master plan, one project was specifically re-prioritized and deferred to a lower priority to allow for new, higher priority projects to be implemented first. The project that has been re-prioritized and deferred to future years is:

HC-6: Huston Creek WWTP Primary Clarifier Replacement. This project is intended to replace the two existing primary clarifiers after the new primary clarifier is put online. Based on discussions with the District, this project can be deferred with maintenance to the existing equipment to allow for higher priority projects to be implemented first.

2.1 Aborted Projects

Two projects from the 2018 Master plan have been removed from the recommended 10-year CIP due to regulatory uncertainty. The projects that have been removed from the recommended CIP and may be re-considered at a later date include:

- HC-7: Huston Creek WWTP Biological Treatment Upgrade: This project is intended to upgrade the Huston Creek WWTP biological treatment process to comply with anticipated future regulatory updates, specifically for nutrient removal. Currently, there is not a known timeline for regulatory changes. A nutrient management study (HC-2) is recommended to evaluate options in anticipation of future regulatory changes, however, these changes are not anticipated to be enacted within the 10-year CIP timeframe.
- SC-8: Seeley Creek WWTP Emergency Storage Pond: The design for this project was previously completed, however, the District has not received approval from the Regional Board and the US Forest Service to complete this project. The project can be implemented if approval is obtained. At this time, it is uncertain if the project will ever be approved for implementation.

2.2 New Projects

Since the 2018 Master Plan, new projects have been identified during workshops with key District staff and added to the CIP based on recent developments and infrastructure needs. Each of the new projects identified which were not previously included in the 2018 Master Plan are outlined below, including a description of the project drivers and project priority.



2.2.1 HC-1: Huston Creek Retaining Wall

The retaining wall extending from the secondary sludge pump room north toward the emergency storage tank is observed to be in poor condition and failing. Continued failure and erosion of the retaining wall due to storm events could undermine the access road and potentially the secondary sludge pump room itself. These failures could have major consequences to maintaining treatment and access to this area of the plant, and therefore needs to be addressed as a top priority project.

2.2.2 CS-1: Collection System Flow Data Analysis and Hydraulic Model Updates

The District has captured years of flow data from the collection system after installing flow meters in their system to monitor inflow and infiltration. However, the data has not yet been analyzed to determine the extent of I/I and whether or not the District's ongoing CIPP rehabilitation projects have has a meaningful impact on I/I reduction in the collection system. In addition to needing to analyze the recent 5 years' worth of flow data, the District needs to update the hydraulic model created with the 2018 Master Plan, evaluate the I/I reduction progress and asses where additional pipe rehabilitation is needed to reduce I/I to acceptable levels. I/I reduction is a continued high priority objective for the District, and therefore, updating the District's flow data analysis and locating areas where I/I is still an issue will allow the District to better focus investment in sliplining and achieve their I/I reduction goals.

2.2.3 SC-1: Seeley Creek WWTP Access Road Assessment

During the 2022 winter storms, Crestline experienced heavy rain and snow, the likes of which it hasn't seen in many years. The erosion caused by the storms are believed to have undermined and damaged areas of the access road infrastructure. Repairing and replacing the damaged access road is a high priority for the District since it is the only entrance and egress available to the plant. The assessment project would provide a detailed assessment of damages and improvements needed for the road and develop a plan for the repairs. Final design and construction of improvements would be completed as a second project.

2.2.4 HC-4: Huston Creek WWTP Access Road Replacement

Like Seeley Creek WWTP, the access road at Huston Creek WWTP was also damaged during the winter 2022 storms and had additional wear and tear from heavy construction equipment accessing the site for the ongoing Dewatering Building and Primary Clarifier project. After completion of the project, the access road will need to be repaired and repaved. This project is a high priority and is recommended for FY25.

2.2.5 SC-7: Seeley Creek WWTP Primary Clarifier Upgrade

The District has identified erosion and concerning soil conditions on the north side of the Seeley Creek WWTP Primary Clarifier. Recently, the primary clarifier skimmer arm has misaligned or become crooked and needs to be repaired. Staff are concerned this may have something to do with erosion undermining the structure. The structure should be inspected to determine if any differential settlement has occurred due to the erosion of the slope on the side of the clarifier. Due to the nature of the concerns, this is a high priority project that is recommended for investigation in FY25 and improvements, if necessary, in FY26.



2.2.6 SC-5: Seeley Creek WWTP Access Road Replacement

Following the Access Road assessment project, it is recommended to design and construct necessary improvements to the Seeley Creek WWTP Access Road as a high priority project. For planning purposes, this project is recommended for FY26.

2.2.7 HC-2: Nutrient Removal Study

The WWTP's currently do not have a nitrogen discharge limit in their Waste Discharge Requirements permit with the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB). However, it is expected that when Crestline's permit is eventually renewed, the RWQCB is likely to impose a 10 mg/L total nitrogen discharge limit for the District's effluent, similar to other local agencies such as Lake Arrowhead CSD. It is recommended that the District begin to study nutrient management alternatives, including the feasibility of performing nitrogen removal at each of their WWTP's or at a centralized location at Las Flores Ranch. Upon completion of the study, it is recommended that the District share the results and conclusions with the RWQCB, and proactively implement nutrient reduction measures, to the extent practical, ahead of future regulatory changes. This project is a medium priority project recommended for FY29.

2.2.8 HC-5: Huston Creek WWTP Primary Clarifiers Structural Assessment

The existing Huston Creek WWTP primary clarifiers were constructed in 1950. The existing clarifiers are at risk of failure from structural and geotechnical conditions, as the structures are over 70 years old and were constructed with thin (4") walls and a single layer of reinforcement. With the new primary clarifier in service, the existing clarifiers can be shut down and dewatered to perform a structural inspection and assessment to determine the feasibility of rehabilitation of the clarifiers or if full replacement is needed. This project is a medium priority project recommended for FY28.

2.2.9 HC-6: Huston Creek WWTP Primary Clarifiers Replacement

Depending on the outcomes and recommendations of the structural assessment project HC-5, section 2.2.8, the clarifiers will either need to be rehabilitated or be fully replaced. For the purposes of CIP planning, HC-6 was budgeted assuming full replacement of the two existing primary clarifiers due to their age and structural design. This project is a low priority project recommended for FY30 but is dependent on the outcome of HC-5.

3 Individual CIP Summary Sheets

Individual summary sheets of each project recommended in the District's 10-year CIP are included in the following pages. Refer to Figure 1 for the overall summary table and recommended scheduling for each project.

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



Project No.	HC-1			
Project Name	Huston Creek WW	TP Retaining Wall		
Description	emergency storage and erosion of the repotentially the secon consequences to ma	ktending from the secondary sludg tank is observed to be in poor con etaining wall due to storm events of indary sludge pump room itself. The ainining treatment and access to the sed as a top priority project.	dition and failing. Cont could undermine the ac ese failures coudl have	inued failure cess road and major
Priority	Immediate Works (0)-2 Years)		
Project Need	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
Reliability	X	Process Performance		
Capacity		Regulatory		
District Policy & Goals		Regulatory		
Project Cost				
Construction Cost				Control of the Contro
Estimated Construction	Cost		\$	300,000
Soft Costs				
Classification 'B'				
Engineering & Permitting	10% of cons	struction cost	\$	30,000
CM & ESDC	18% of cons	struction cost	\$	54,000
Administration	3% of cons	struction cost	\$	9,000
Soft Cost Subtotal			\$	93,000
Contingency				
Contingency	35% of proj	ect cost	\$	138,000
Total Project Cost			\$	531,000

CS-1

Project No.

Project Name			The state of the s	ALC: NO SECURE OF SECURE O	The state of the s
	Collection Syste	em Flow Data Analysis and Hydraul	ic Model Upo	dates	MARKE
Description	meters in their sy analyzed to deter rehabilitation pro District needs an udpate the hydra reduction progre acceptable levels Recommended Perform an engir hydraulic model		on. This data her not the Disting I/I in the cont 5 years wo aster Plan and ehabilitation is	nas not yet b rict's ongoin ollection sys rth of flow d prepare a re s needed to a analysis, a s on system a	neen ng CIPP tem. The lata and eport on I/I reduce I/I to sewer areas which
Priority Project Need	Immediate Work	ks (0-2 Years)			
Reliability	X	Process Performance	X		
Reliability Capacity	X	Process Performance Regulatory	X		
(a-2)					
Capacity	X				
Capacity District Policy & Goals	X				
Capacity District Policy & Goals Project Cost	X			N/A	
Capacity District Policy & Goals Project Cost Construction Cost	X			N/A	
Capacity District Policy & Goals Project Cost Construction Cost Estimated Construction Soft Costs Project Specific	X X				
Capacity District Policy & Goals Project Cost Construction Cost Estimated Construction Soft Costs Project Specific Engineering & Permitting	X X			\$	100,000
Capacity District Policy & Goals Project Cost Construction Cost Estimated Construction Soft Costs Project Specific Engineering & Permitting CM & ESDC	X X			\$ N/A	100,000
Capacity District Policy & Goals Project Cost Construction Cost Estimated Construction Soft Costs Project Specific Engineering & Permitting	X X			\$	100,000
Capacity District Policy & Goals Project Cost Construction Cost Estimated Construction Soft Costs Project Specific Engineering & Permitting CM & ESDC	X X			\$ N/A	
Capacity District Policy & Goals Project Cost Construction Cost Estimated Construction Soft Costs Project Specific Engineering & Permitting CM & ESDC Administration Soft Cost Subtotal Contingency	XXX	Regulatory		\$ N/A N/A \$	100,000
Capacity District Policy & Goals Project Cost Construction Cost Estimated Construction Soft Costs Project Specific Engineering & Permitting CM & ESDC Administration Soft Cost Subtotal	XXX			\$ N/A N/A	100,000

Project No.	SC-1		
Project Name	Seeley Creek WWTP Access Road Assessment		
Description	Staff have expressed concerns regarding the condition of the Creek WWTP. Previous large storms have affected the access required to understand potential damages or areas of roadw safe vehicle access. Recommended Project:	road and an assess	sment is
	Perform a roadway assessment to identify areas of repair nee	eds and define a pro	eliminary
	scope of work for roadway improvements.	,	,
Priority Project Need	Immediate Works (0-2 Years)		
Reliability	X Process Performance		
Capacity	Regulatory		
District Policy & Goals	gasto.y		
Project Cost	Charles and American Street		
Project Cost Construction Cost			
	n Cost	N/A	
Construction Cost	ı Cost	N/A	
Construction Cost Estimated Construction	n Cost	N/A	
Construction Cost Estimated Construction Soft Costs		N/A \$	70,000
Construction Cost Estimated Construction Soft Costs Project Specific			70,000
Construction Cost Estimated Construction Soft Costs Project Specific Engineering & Permitting		\$	70,000
Construction Cost Estimated Construction Soft Costs Project Specific Engineering & Permitting CM & ESDC		\$ N/A	70,000
Construction Cost Estimated Construction Soft Costs Project Specific Engineering & Permitting CM & ESDC Administration		\$ N/A N/A	
Construction Cost Estimated Construction Soft Costs Project Specific Engineering & Permitting CM & ESDC Administration Soft Cost Subtotal		\$ N/A N/A	

Project No.	HC-2				
Project Name	Nutrient Mana	agement Study			
Description	Discharge Requested However, it is estimpose a 10 m local agencies study nutrient removal at each the study is to requirements estimpose a recommended proactively improactively improactively improactively	restline WWTP's currently have a nitrogenuirements permit with the Regional Water expected that when Crestline's permit is egyL total nitrogen discharge limit for the such as Lake Arrowhead CSD. It is recommanagement alternatives, including the hof their WWTP's or at a centralized locidentify a cost-effective approach to matexpected with the future permit update. It that the District share the results and plodementing nutrent reduction measures at Project:	er Quality Co eventually re e District's eff mended tha feasibility of ation at Las maging nutric Upon comple an with the F	entrol Board newed, the fluent, simila it the Distric performing Flores Rancl ent removal etion of the RWQCB and	(RWQCB). RWQCB will ar to other t begin to nitrogen h. The goal o study, it is work toward
Priority Project Need Reliability Capacity District Policy & Goals	Mid-Term (2-6	Years) Process Performance Regulatory	X		
Project Cost					
Construction Cost					
Estimated Construction	Cost			N/A	
Soft Costs		3			
Project Specific					
Engineering & Permitting CM & ESDC Administration	ı			\$ N/A N/A	225,000
Soft Cost Subtotal				\$	225,000
Contingency					
Contingency	35% of	f engineering cost	The second secon	\$	79,000

Project No.	SC-2		
Project Name	Seeley Creek WWTP Primary Clarifier Condition Assessment		
Description	The District has identified erosion and concerning soils conditions on Seeley Creek WWTP Primary Clarifier. Recently, the primary clarifier sk out of alignment and needs to be repaired. The struture should be insany differential settlement has occurred due to the erosion of the slop clarifier.	kimmer arn spected to	n has gotten determine i
	Recommended Project:		
	Perform a structural assessement and erosion control study for the Se primary clarifier. The study should recommend erosion control measu improvements that may be necessary to protect the structure.		
Priority	Immediate Works (0-2 Years)		
Project Need			
Reliability	X Process Performance		
Capacity District Policy & Goals	Regulatory		
Project Cost	Apple 1 Committee of the Committee of th		
Construction Cost			
Estimated Construction	Cost	N/A	AND THE STATE OF T
	Cost	N/A	
Estimated Construction	Cost	N/A	
Estimated Construction Soft Costs		N/A \$	105,000
Estimated Construction Soft Costs Classification 'C'			105,000
Estimated Construction Soft Costs Classification 'C' Engineering & Permitting		\$	105,000
Estimated Construction Soft Costs Classification 'C' Engineering & Permitting CM & ESDC		\$ N/A	
Estimated Construction Soft Costs Classification 'C' Engineering & Permitting CM & ESDC Administration		\$ N/A N/A	
Estimated Construction Soft Costs Classification 'C' Engineering & Permitting CM & ESDC Administration Soft Cost Subtotal		\$ N/A N/A	105,000 105,000 37,000

Project No.	HC-3				
Project Name	Houston Creek WWTP Ongoing Facility Safety Upgrades				
Description	Several failure modes at Houston Creek WWTP pose a safety risk to plant operations and maintenance staff. Structural failure of interior CMU walls in the chlorine contact basin, structural failure of the secondary effluent box, and grating failure in the headworks need be addressed. Staff has stated that there are ongoing projects to address these failure modes. The ongoing project has the following general scope:				
	Project: Repair damaged concrete and corrosion on secondary effluent of CMU interior walls of chlorine contact basin with concrete n replace interior walls with new concrete. Repair damaged gratic concrete in influent channels or replace influent channel gratin condition.	nortar or cedar ing supports an	wood or d rehabilitate		
Priority	Immediate Works (0-2 Years)				
Project Need	ininediate works (0-2 rears)				
Reliability	X Process Performance				
Capacity	Regulatory				
District Policy & Goals	X				
Project Cost					
Construction Cost					
Estimated Construction C	ost	\$	215,000		
Soft Costs					
Classification 'C'					
Engineering & Permitting	15% of construction cost	\$	32,000		
CM & ESDC	20% of construction cost	\$	43,000		
Administration	5% of construction cost	\$	11,000		
Soft Cost Subtotal		\$	86,000		
Contingency					
Contingency	35% of project cost	\$	105,000		

\$

Project No.	HC-4			
Project Name	Houston Creek V	WWTP Access Road Replacement	TO SERVE SERVE	
Description	new dewatering be paved to provide Recommended Preform area-speces Provide new pavir	www. Www. Access Road is in poor condi- building and primary clarifier. The accessafe vehicle access to the WWTP. <u>roject:</u> cfic road improvements where slopeing and guardrails as needed to restorately 3,600 feet and assumed 12' wide	ess road needs to be stability and/or draina e safe access road co	re-graded and age is a concern.
Priority Project Need	Immediate Works	s (0-2 Years)		
Reliability	X	Process Performance		
Capacity		Regulatory		
District Policy & Goals				
Project Cost	100 PEG (C. N.)			
Construction Cost				
Estimated Construction (Cost		\$	1,411,000
Soft Costs				
Classification 'B'			and Annual Control of the State	- March Co-March March Carlos (Art Carlos March March Carlos March Albert
Engineering & Permitting	10% of co	onstruction cost	\$	141,000
CM & ESDC	18% of co	onstruction cost	\$	254,000
Administration	3% of co	onstruction cost	\$	42,000
Soft Cost Subtotal			\$	437,000
Contingency				
Contingency	35% of p	roject cost	\$	647,000
				0.404.000
Total Project Cost			\$	2,496,000

Project No.	SC-3				
Project Name	Seeley Creek WW	TP Primary ODS Electrical Upgrad	le		
Description		single-duty ODS-style primary slud Conduit has failed, causing failure w			
	Recommended Pro	piect:			
	Replace panel and				-
Priority	Immediate Works	(0-2 Years)			
Project Need	gamente de la constante de la				
Reliability	X	Process Performance	X		
Capacity		Regulatory			
District Policy & Goals					
Project Cost	8			No. 19	
Construction Cost					
Estimated Construction C	ost			\$	141,000
Soft Costs					
Classification 'C'					
Engineering & Permitting	15% of co	nstruction cost		\$	21,000
CM & ESDC	20% of co	nstruction cost		\$	28,000
Administration	5% of co	nstruction cost		\$	7,000
Soft Cost Subtotal				\$	56,000
Contingency					
Contingency	35% of pro	oject cost		\$	69,000
Total Project Cost	And the second s			\$	266,000

Project No.

SC-4

Project No.					
Project Name		WTP Well Construction			
Description	filter, media filter, generation skid as unit capable of 10 treated with a sma Chlorine is manua Recommended Proprill well for reliable treatment componies.	dary effluent first goes through a wye water softener, carbon filter, UV, RO, is supply water. The skid consists of on 0 lb/d chlorine dose. Salt bags are sto all RO unit to produce water for mixtually dosed, day-to-day operation. No exoject: ble water supply to reduce risk of failuments. Well can be drilled adjacent to te generation equipment.	then into the one Micro-Chlorored at the factive with the sale of the faction of the more of the more from the mor	on-site con-site on-site ility. Plar it in a brueter is in	hlorine generation at effluent is ine tank. astalled.
1 10					
Priority	Mid-Term (2-6 Ye	arc)			
Project Need	Wild-16111 (2-0 16)	a13)			
Reliability	X	Process Performance	X		
Capacity		Regulatory	X		
District Policy & Goals					
Project Cost	Magazina da				
Construction Cost					
Estimated Construction	Cost			\$	336,000
Soft Costs					
Classification 'B'					
Engineering & Permitting	10% of co	onstruction cost		\$	34,000
CM & ESDC		onstruction cost		\$	60,000
Administration	5% of co	onstruction cost		\$	17,000
Soft Cost Subtotal				\$	111,000
Contingency					
Contingency	35% of pr	roject cost		\$	156,000
				1	

Project No. LS-1

Total Project Cost

riojectivo.				
Project Name	Lake Gregory We	t Well Capacity Upgrade		
Description	measures are in place lack of emergency backup force main system, and a stan Recommended Processumes approximately Recommend this process.	pump station wet well is under-sized ace to compensate for a limited hydra storage. Mitigation measures already, generator, automatic transfer switch dpipe for full lift station bypass pumpoject: ncy storage capacity to allow for additioately 20,000 gallons of below-grade exproject if and when additional connected decrease wet well detention time.	nulic capacity of to in place to avoice, battery backup ing. cional failure resp emergency storage	he wet well and I a spill include a on the control onse time. Project ge capacity.
Priority Project Need Reliability	Mid-Term (2-6 Yea	Process Performance		
Capacity	X	Regulatory	X	
District Policy & Goals		Regulatory	^	
Project Cost			gers a cont	
Construction Cost				
Estimated Construction C	Cost		\$	428,000
Soft Costs				
Classification 'C'				
Engineering & Permitting	15% of cor	nstruction cost	\$	64,000
CM & ESDC	20% of cor	nstruction cost	\$	86,000
Administration	5% of co	nstruction cost	\$	21,000
Soft Cost Subtotal			\$	171,000
Contingency				
Contingency	35% of pro	oject cost	\$	210,000

\$

Project No. SC-5

Project Name	Seeley Creek W\	WTP Access Road Replacement		
Description		comes of the Seeley Creek WWTP Access Roa ent is expected to bring the access road back		
	Provide new pavi	roject: ocfic road improvements where slope stability ng and guardrails as needed to restore safe a mately 6,200 feet at assumed 12' wide lane.		T
Priority	Mid-Term (2-6 Ye	ears)		
Project Need				
Reliability	X	Process Performance		
Capacity		Regulatory		
District Policy & Goals				
Project Cost				
Construction Cost				
Estimated Construction	Cost		\$	2,645,000
Soft Costs				
Classification 'B'				
Engineering & Permitting	10% of c	onstruction cost	\$	265,000
CM & ESDC	18% of c	onstruction cost	\$	476,000
Administration	3% of c	onstruction cost	\$	79,000
Soft Cost Subtotal			\$	820,000
Contingency				
Contingency	35% of p	project cost	\$	1,213,000
			1	

Project No.	HC-5			
Project Name	Huston Creek Pr	rimary Clarifiers Structural Assessme	ent	
Description	clarifiers are at ris are over 70 years clarifier in service structural inspect	con Creek WWTP Primary clarifiers were sk of failure from structural and geoted old and were constructed with thin (4 e, the existing clarifiers can be shut down tion and assessment to determine the replacement is needed.	chnical conditions, as the ") thick walls. With the yn and dewatered to p	he structures new primary erform a
	determine the co	Project: ural inspection and assessment of the toundation of the existing structure and entering the replacement of the tour replacement of the existing structure and entering the st	quipment, and provide	
Priority	Mid-Term (2-6 Ye	ears)		
Project Need		D	V	
Reliability	X	Process Performance	X	
Capacity District Policy & Goals	X	Regulatory		
Project Cost				
Construction Cost		A STATE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN		Alexandra St. Cont. Mr. Berney, Co. St. St. St. St. St. St. St. St. St. St
	Cost		N/A	
Estimated Construction	Cost		N/A	
Estimated Construction Soft Costs	Cost			
Estimated Construction Soft Costs			N/A \$	169,000
Estimated Construction Soft Costs Project Specific			\$ N/A	169,000
Estimated Construction Soft Costs Project Specific Engineering & Permitting			\$	169,000
CM & ESDC			\$ N/A	169,000 169,000
Estimated Construction Soft Costs Project Specific Engineering & Permitting CM & ESDC Administration			\$ N/A N/A	
Estimated Construction Soft Costs Project Specific Engineering & Permitting CM & ESDC Administration Soft Cost Subtotal		engineering cost	\$ N/A N/A	

Project No. SC-6 Seeley Creek WWTP Ancillary Systems Upgrade **Project Name** Description Plant compressed air is delivered to processes as-needed by local compressors around the plant. The system used to be one, large compressor, but underground air piping failed and the larger system was abandoned. High pressure effluent (HPE) feeds plant water for multiple uses around the plant. HPE is used for irrigation, hose bibs, feed water for on-site chlorine generation, and other uses. There is currently an HPE piping leak at an unidentified location(s). Recommended Project: Establish permanent solutions for ex. smaller compressors. Locate leak, if possible, and replace HPE line(s) with new pipe. Consider installing pipe in an accessible concrete trench with trench plates for easier access for maintenance. Replace air compressor and air piping and install a vault **Priority** Mid-Term (2-6 Years) **Project Need**

X

X

Reliability

Capacity

District Policy & Goals

Project Cost			
Construction Cost			
Estimated Construction Cost		\$	368,000
Soft Costs		And the second s	
Classification 'C'			
Engineering & Permitting	15% of construction cost	\$	55,000
CM & ESDC	20% of construction cost	\$	74,000
Administration	5% of construction cost	\$	18,000
Soft Cost Subtotal		\$	147,000
Contingency			
Contingency	35% of project cost	\$	180,000
Total Project Cost		\$	696,000

Process Performance

Regulatory

X

Project No.	SC-7			
Project Name	Seeley Creek Prim	ary Clarifier Upgrade	A CARLO CARLO	
Description	Seeley Creek WWT out of alignment ar	ntified erosion and concerning soils P Primary Clarifier. Recently, the print nd needs to be repaired. The structue ttlement has occurred due to the e	mary clarifier skimmer ure should be inspected	arm has gotter d to determine
	primary clarifier tha	<u>ject:</u> omes of the structural assessment, c of may include structural rehabilitati improvements, and mechanical equ	on / reinforcement, ero	
Priority	Immediate Works (0-2 Years)		
Project Need				
Reliability	X	Process Performance		
Capacity		Regulatory		
District Policy & Goals				
Project Cost				
Construction Cost				
Estimated Construction (Cost		\$	539,000
Soft Costs				
Classification 'C'				
Engineering & Permitting	15% of cor	nstruction cost	\$	81,000
CM & ESDC	20% of cor	nstruction cost	\$	108,000
Administration	5% of cor	nstruction cost	\$	27,000
Soft Cost Subtotal			\$	216,000
Contingency				
Contingency	35% of pro	ject cost	\$	264,000
Total Project Cost			\$	1,019,000

Project No.	HC-6			
Project Name	Huston Creek Primary Clarifier Replacement			
Description	Depending on the outcomes of the structural assessment of the existing primary clarifiers, the clarifiers will either need rehabilitation or be fully replaced. For the purposes of CIP budgeting, we recommend the District anticipate full replacement of the two existing primary clarifiers due to their age and structrual design. Additionally, the clarifiers were originally Imhoff-style, so they have side slopes that reduce their volume and performance relative to modern clarifier design.			
	Recommended Project:			
	Replace the two existing 70+ year old primary clarifiers. Clarifier should also address rehabilitation and/or replacement of to the adjacent to the clarifiers to bring the structure and spaces up to seismic codes.	existing oper	ations building	
Priority	Long-Term (7+ Years)			
Project Need				
Reliability	X Process Performance X			
Capacity	X Regulatory			
District Policy & Goals				
Project Cost				
Construction Cost				
Estimated Construction	Cost	\$	4,004,000	
Soft Costs				
Classification 'C'				
Engineering & Permitting	15% of construction cost	\$	601,000	
CM & ESDC	20% of construction cost	\$	801,000	
Administration	5% of construction cost	\$	200,000	
Soft Cost Subtotal		\$	1,602,000	
Contingency				
Contingency	35% of project cost			

\$

7,567,000

Project No.	CL-1			
Project Name	Cleghorn WWTP C	Concrete Structures Rehabilitatio	n	
Description	designed with a lon increasing corrosion ditch experincing co Single-duty circular	n extended-aeration activated slud og SRT designed to remove BOD. Less potential to the concrete once it substraction and degradation, especially secondary clarifier functions to called return them to the primary clarifier.	iner is peeling, and bub s breached. Basin discha y in the "splash zone" b pture and settle sloughe	bling out, and rge side of y the aerator.
	Remove failed oxid	ation ditch and secondary clarifier form concrete rehab on basin disc		integrity, rehab
Priority Project Need	Immediate Works (0-2 Years)		
Reliability	X	Process Performance		
Capacity		Regulatory		
District Policy & Goals			horacon and a second a second and a second a	
Project Cost				
Construction Cost				
Estimated Construction C	ost		\$	171,000
Soft Costs				
Classification 'C'				
Engineering & Permitting	15% of con	struction cost	\$	26,000
CM & ESDC	20% of con	struction cost	\$	34,000
Administration	5% of con	struction cost	\$	9,000
Soft Cost Subtotal			\$	69,000
Contingency				
Contingency	35% of pro	ject cost	\$	84,000
Total Project Cost			\$	323,000

Project No.	CL-2		
Project Name	Cleghorn WWTP Sludge Wasting and Clarifier Upgrade	5	
Description	Cleghorn has a single duty racetrack-style oxidation ditch waerator. Little to no process control exists for the activated used as a control parameter, and RAS pumps operate on a functions to remove aged biomass from the activated sludge no infrastructure mechanism is in place to facilitate sludge draw off the RAS line and fill a tanker truck, which hauls the This wasting process currently occurs approximately two times the RAS project: Construct a sludge handling facility near the RAS pump stated and reliable wasting operations. Construct a redundant RAS configuration to facilitate wasting.	sludge. DO is monit timer. Activated slu ge for biomass cont wasting, other than a waste sludge to Ho mes per year.	tored but not dge wasting trol. Currently to manually ouston Creek ore consistent
Priority Project Need Reliability Capacity District Policy & Goals	Mid-Term (2-6 Years) Process Performance Regulatory	X	
Project Cost			
Construction Cost			
Estimated Construction	Cost	\$	121,000
Soft Costs			
Classification 'C'			
Engineering & Permitting	15% of construction cost	\$	18,000
CM & ESDC	20% of construction cost	\$	24,000
Administration	5% of construction cost	\$	6,000
Soft Cost Subtotal		\$	48,000
Contingency			
Contingency	35% of project cost	\$	59,000

\$

Project No.	CL-3				
Project Name	Cleghorn WWTP	Oxidation Ditch Upgrade			
Description	designed with a lo Cleghorn has a sin aerator. It is powe	an extended-aeration activated sludging SRT. The activated sludge procesingle-duty racetrack-style oxidation dired by a 30-hp motor horizontally macts to mix, maintan velocity, and en	s is designed to remo tch with a single mec ounted across the wid	ve BOD. hanical brush dth of the track.	
	Recommended Pr	oject:			
	Add a second aera	ator for redundancy. Alternatively, co	nsider changing aera	tion technology	
	Single-duty critica	l equipment carries high risk even wl	nen mitigation is in pl	ace.	
1_ 1					
= 1					
Priority	Mid-Term (2-6 Ye	ars)			
Project Need					
Reliability	X	Process Performance	X		
Capacity		Regulatory			
District Policy & Goals					
Project Cost					
Construction Cost					
Estimated Construction C	Cost		\$	520,000	
Soft Costs	7				
Classification 'C'					
Engineering & Permitting	15% of co	nstruction cost	\$	78,000	
CM & ESDC	20% of co	enstruction cost	\$	104,000	
Administration	5% of co	enstruction cost	\$	26,000	
Soft Cost Subtotal			\$	208,000	
Contingency					
Contingency	35% of pr	oject cost	\$	255,000	

\$

Project No.

CL-4

Project No.	CL-4	The second secon		The same of the sa	
Project Name	Cleghorn WWTP F	Headworks Upgrade			
Description	Plant influent flows	through one bar screen, with 2-inch	n wide bar spa	cing, the	n through a
	channel Muffin Mor	nster unit before flowing into the ox	idation ditch.	Influent o	contains higher
		is, clothes, and other items found at	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR		
	through the 2-inch	bar screen. No engineered grit rem	oval process e	xists at tl	ne plant. Grit is
		out of the influent channels and typ			
		. Spills could occur during high flow			
		ntaned by the State. Therefore, the D			
		fluent characteristics. Influent tends	150	aepenai	ng on lift station
	activity, septic dum	ps, wet weather, holidays, and other	r tactors.		
	Recommended Pro				
		onster and install an automatic scree			
		emoval system. If hydraulic capacity		00000 T	
	in the second se	ed channels. New screenings and gr		-	
	pumping system to	account for hydraulic head losses t	inough new e	quipmen	it.
Priority	Mid-Term (2-6 Year	rs)			
Project Need				1	
Reliability	X	Process Performance	X		
Capacity	X	Regulatory			
District Policy & Goals					
Project Cost					
Construction Cost				Ι.	
Estimated Construction C	Cost			\$	1,606,000
Soft Costs					
Classification 'C'				Т.	
Engineering & Permitting		nstruction cost		\$	241,000
CM & ESDC		nstruction cost		\$	321,000
Administration	5% of cor	nstruction cost		\$	80,000
Soft Cost Subtotal				\$	642,000
Contingency					
Contingency	35% of pro	pject cost		\$	787,000
Total Project Cost				\$	3,035,000

Project No.	CL-5		
Project Name	Cleghorn WWTP On-Site Generation System Upgrade		
Description	Chlorine generation equipment consists of 1 Micro-Chlor onsite general are stored at the facility. Potable water used for mixture with the salt in Chlorine is manually dosed day-to-day. Residual testing is done via grafailure could occur under a multitude of points, including brine tank. Mono control or flow-pacing.	a brine ta b sample.	ink. Skid
	Recommended Project:		
	Replace existing Micro-Chlor OSG unit.		
Priority	Long-Term (7+ Years)		
-	9		
Project Need			
Project Need Reliability	X Process Performance X		
	X Process Performance X Regulatory		
Reliability			
Reliability Capacity			
Reliability Capacity District Policy & Goals			
Reliability Capacity District Policy & Goals Project Cost	Regulatory	.	96,000
Reliability Capacity District Policy & Goals Project Cost Construction Cost	Regulatory	.	96,000
Reliability Capacity District Policy & Goals Project Cost Construction Cost Estimated Construction	Regulatory	S	96,000
Reliability Capacity District Policy & Goals Project Cost Construction Cost Estimated Construction Soft Costs	Regulatory		
Reliability Capacity District Policy & Goals Project Cost Construction Cost Estimated Construction Soft Costs Classification 'D'	Regulatory Cost 5% of construction cost 5% of construction cost 5% of construction cost	5	5,000 5,000
Reliability Capacity District Policy & Goals Project Cost Construction Cost Estimated Construction Soft Costs Classification 'D' Engineering & Permitting	Cost 5% of construction cost 5	5	5,000 5,000
Reliability Capacity District Policy & Goals Project Cost Construction Cost Estimated Construction Soft Costs Classification 'D' Engineering & Permitting CM & ESDC	Regulatory Cost 5% of construction cost 5% of construction cost 5% of construction cost 5% of construction cost 5% of construction cost	5	5,000 5,000 5,000
Reliability Capacity District Policy & Goals Project Cost Construction Cost Estimated Construction Soft Costs Classification 'D' Engineering & Permitting CM & ESDC Administration	Regulatory Cost 5% of construction cost 5% of construction cost 5% of construction cost 5% of construction cost 5% of construction cost	5 5 5	5,000 5,000 5,000
Reliability Capacity District Policy & Goals Project Cost Construction Cost Estimated Construction Soft Costs Classification 'D' Engineering & Permitting CM & ESDC Administration Soft Cost Subtotal	Regulatory Cost 5% of construction cost 5% of construction cost 5% of construction cost 5% of construction cost 5% of construction cost	\$ \$ \$	5,000 5,000 5,000 15,000