CRESTLINE SANITATION DISTRICT

MEMORANDUM

DATE: February 8, 2024

TO: BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Crestline Sanitation District

FROM: DAWN GRANTHAM

General Manager

SUBJECT: MASTER PLAN UPDATE APPROVAL

A. RECOMMENDATION

I recommend the approval of the 2nd Draft Master Plan as presented by Dudek (Engineering Firm), as it relates to all facets of effluent disposal.

B REASON FOR RECOMMENDATION

The current Master Plan was last updated in September 2018. Since the update the District has completed some projects in the Plan, made aware of new items, and detected some projects may not be as necessary as once perceived. This Plan will help with strategic planning and budgeting.

C. OTHER INFORMATION

As per the request of the Board of Directors, I met with Dudek to see if the tenyear amount was justifiable. We looked at the largest cost, which was the upgrade to the Biological Treatment Process at Huston Creek WWTP, which we removed for now since we don't know when the State will mandate an upgrade or what they will mandate, hopefully when it happens, we will be able to apply for a grant. Also reviewed was the Seeley Creek WWTP Pond, which after the District had a study completed found that the State would not allow it to environmental impacts. Lastly, it was found that the CIPP Rehabilitation Project was actually our annual slipling project, which is already included in the Fiscal Year Budget, every year.

D. FISCAL INFORMATION

For fiscal year 2023-24, \$30,000.00 was set aside in the professional services budget for this update.

E. ATTACHMENTS

Master Plan Update (2nd draft)



MAIN OFFICE 605 THIRD STREET ENCINITAS, CALIFORNIA 92024 T 800.450.1818 F 760.632.0164



TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

To: Dawn Grantham, Crestline Sanitation District

Author(s): Phil Giori, PE (Dudek)

Date: 1/23/2024

Subject: Master Plan and CIP Update

1 Introduction

The District previously contracted with Dudek to prepare a comprehensive Master Plan Update in 2018, which assessed District facilities, capacity, and provided recommendations for capital improvement projects, schedules, and cost estimates to guide the next 7 years of infrastructure improvement needs. Since 2018, the District has completed or is in the process of completing several projects, including an \$11M upgrade to the Huston Creek WWTP which will include a new primary clarifier, sludge handling and dewatering facilities, trickling filter recirculation pump replacement, and upgrades to the existing electrical service and provision of backup power for the facility.

These improvement needs were identified in the 2018 Master Plan as a top priority, and now that they are near completion, the District is in need of updating the CIP projects, schedules, and cost estimates to better reflect current engineering and construction costs, which have escalated due to inflation and supply chain constraints since 2020. The facilities assessment component of the previous master plan is not updated in this Master Plan and CIP update, as much of the evaluation is still relevant and does not require comprehensive update for another 5-10 years. Ultimately, the Master Plan Update is intended to inform and guide future rate studies and evaluate the potential need and extent of rate increases that may be required for the District to support their Capital Improvement Program and continue to provide high quality services for their customers and community.

1.1 Objectives

This Master Plan and Capital Improvement Plan update identifies, prioritizes, and budgets recommended capital improvement projects for the District. The CIP establishes immediate to long-term recommended projects and planning budgets for the District and includes an annual escalation of project costs to account for cost increases in professional services and construction due to inflation. The development of the CIP project recommendations is based on the analysis completed for the 2018 Master plan, with updates founded on input from District staff regarding changes in observed condition, process performance, and risk and reliability. Updates include new projects, updates to the priority and scheduling of previously identified projects, scope, and cost updates. The new CIP recommendations serve to aid the District in completing a new rate study to maintain funding requirements for infrastructure improvements.

1.2 Methodology

Through multiple workshops with the District, new projects were identified for inclusion in the District's CIP while existing project scopes were confirmed, modified, and/or updated to reflect current facilities needs and new developments.

Budgetary Cost Estimating

A budgetary cost is estimated for each defined project. The cost estimates are based on anticipated construction cost values with a contingency and "soft cost" (e.g. planning, design, administrative) multipliers added to define a total "project cost".

Estimates of probable construction costs include consideration of:

- Vendor quotes and published catalog costs for major equipment and mechanical components. Material
 and equipment quotes. Multipliers for delivery, in-field services, installation, tools, parts, labor, and
 contractor overhead and profit are applied to derive an installed unit cost.
- Parametric unit cost values derived from recent similar projects for demolition, piping, civil work, and electrical work. Scaling factors are applied to adjust for size and complexity.
- Unit cost factors developed for specific components of the project, as applicable.
- Annual escalation rate to account for inflation is included on all projects at an assumed rate of 3% per year.
- Project costs developed in 2018 with the previous Master Plan are updated using the Engineering News Record's (ENR) Construction Cost Index (CCI) (see section 1.1.2) for scope elements of the project that remain unchanged.

Cost Indices

In developing project cost estimates, it is common to use historical data from similar projects, (e.g. detailed cost estimates, bids from constructed projects). To be relevant to the immediate project, the date and geographical region of the cost data must be considered. The industry standard barometer of changes in construction market conditions over time is the Engineering News Record's (ENR) Construction Cost Index (CCI). This index is computed from constant quantities of structural steel (weighted 15%), Portland cement (2%), lumber (10%), and common labor (73%) in 20 cities, the average of which is considered to be the national average and based on a value of 100 in 1913 (Sanks, 852). Similarly, the CCI is regionalized using the Los Angeles ENR-CCI index. Construction estimates are normalized in time by proportioning values to the index existing at the time of the estimate or bid. Where applicable, the cost estimates for the recommended projects in this CIP are normalized to the Los Angeles ENR-CCI for September 2023 of 15,157.5 to represent present dollars.

Contingency

Project contingencies are applied to cover uncertainties in the estimating practice including unknown or unforeseen costs. Industry standard contingencies can range from 10% to 35%, depending on the confidence level of the estimate (i.e., project stage, risk, scope development, engineering constraints, etc.). Unless noted otherwise, a 35% contingency is added to the estimated project costs herein.



Implementation Costs

Implementation cost allowances (a.k.a. "soft costs") are included in the project estimates for costs directly associated with delivering a project from planning through construction that are not included in the construction estimate (i.e. planning, design, permitting, construction management/inspection, project administration, and commissioning and closeout). Projects with lower construction costs tend to have a larger percentage of project delivery (soft) costs, while the larger projects tend to have a smaller percentage of soft costs. This is primarily due to the economy of scale associated with projects.

Table 1.1 presents the project implementation allowances (soft cost) classification system utilized in the CIP recommendations. Each project is assigned a "Soft Cost Class" of A, B, C, or D, depending on the project size and complexity. Projects that do not fit into one of these four classifications are listed as "Project Specific", and soft costs are assigned based on recent similar projects and experience.

Table 1.1 Summary of Soft Cost Classification System

Soft Cost Class	Category	% of Construction Cost	Comments
	Engineering	8%	Projects that are relatively simple (e.g. long
Α	CM & ESDC	15%	pipelines, large pond liners, large (+\$300k) equipment replacement) and/or larger (e.g. full
A	Administration	2%	treatment plant design), possibly with repetitive
	Total Soft Costs	25%	aspects.
В	Engineering	10%	Projects of average size and/or complexity (e.g.
	CM & ESDC	18%	new pump stations, sand filters redesign, equipment replacement)
Б	Administration	3%	equipment replacement)
	Total Soft Costs	31%	
	Engineering	15%	Complex and/or small projects (e.g. electrical
0	CM & ESDC	20%	upgrades, SCADA upgrades, small pump station
С	Administration	5%	replacement/rehab)
	Total Soft Costs	40%	
	Engineering	5%	District replaced/installed equipment (e.g. small
D	CM & ESDC	5%	pump replacement, instrument replacement
D	Administration	5%	projects) that do not require engineering design.
	Total Soft Costs	15%	

Engineering = Study, Preliminary, and Final Design

CM = Construction Management (Contract management and inspection)

ESDC = Engineering Services During Construction

Administration = District administrative and legal costs

Prioritization

CIP project recommendations are grouped into three categories: immediate works (recommend initiating project within 0-2 years), mid-term (recommend initiating project within 2-6 years), and long-term (recommend initiating



project in 7+ years). Priorities are assigned to projects based on workshops held with District staff to discuss the needs and urgency associated with each project.

2 CIP Recommendations

The following section describes recommended capital improvement projects to be included in the District's 10-year CIP. New recommended CIP projects are identified to address the reliability, performance, and capacity deficiencies identified through workshops with key District staff. Certain projects maintained from the 2018 Master Plan and CIP are re-prioritized as required to represent current priorities and needs. Each CIP project should be evaluated relative to the most current data and information available prior to implementation to verify if the project is the best solution for the District at that time, or if the scope should be adjusted.

2.1 Ongoing / Completed Projects

Since the 2018 Master Plan, the District has either completed or are actively completing the following projects identified in the 2018 Master Plan:

- Huston Creek WWTP Primary Clarifier Replacement
- Huston Creek WWTP Biosolids Dewatering Upgrade
- Huston Creek WWTP Emergency Generator
- Collection System Inflow and Infiltration Analysis (Flow Metering)
- Seeley Creek WWTP Emergency Storage Pond (Design only)

2.2 CIP Overview

The District's wastewater system is categorized into the following facilities:

- Collection System
- Huston Creek WWTP
- Seeley Creek WWTP
- Cleghorn WWTP

The Cleghorn WWTP is broken out separately from the other District facilities due to its unique funding arrangement. The District operates and maintains the plant for California State Parks and therefore, improvements to the Cleghorn WWTP are funded by the State and not District ratepayers.

A summary CIP table with each recommended project and implementation schedule is shown in Figure 1. Project summary sheets are included in Section 3 and include relevant details and cost breakdown for each project.



Figure 1. CIP Summary Table

Project						CIP B	udget for Fisca	l Year Ending I	n					
No.	Project Name	Priority	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034+		Total
HC-1	Huston Creek WWTP Retaining Wall	Immediate Works (0-2 Years)	\$ 531,000										\$	531,000
CS-1	Collection System Flow Data Analysis and Hydraulic Model Updates	Immediate Works (0-2 Years)	\$ 135,000										\$	135,000
SC-1	Seeley Creek WWTP Access Road Assessment	Immediate Works (0-2 Years)	\$ 95,000										\$	95,000
SC-2	Seeley Creek WWTP Primary Clarifier Condition Assessment	Immediate Works (0-2 Years)	\$ 142,000										\$	142,000
HC-3	Houston Creek WWTP Ongoing Facility Safety Upgrades	Immediate Works (0-2 Years)	\$ 60,000	\$ 346,000									\$	406,000
HC-4	Houston Creek WWTP Access Road Replacement	Immediate Works (0-2 Years)	\$ 365,000	\$ 2,131,000									\$	2,496,000
SC-7	Seeley Creek Primary Clarifier Upgrade	Immediate Works (0-2 Years)		\$ 150,000	\$ 870,000								\$	1,020,000
SC-3	Seeley Creek WWTP Primary ODS Electrical Upgrade	Immediate Works (0-2 Years)		\$ 39,000	\$ 228,000								\$	267,000
LS-1	Lake Gregory Wet Well Capacity Upgrade	Mid-Term (2-6 Years)			\$ 118,000	\$ 690,000							\$	808,000
SC-5	Seeley Creek WWTP Access Road Replacement	Mid-Term (2-6 Years)			\$ 684,000	\$ 3,993,000							\$	4,677,000
SC-4	Seeley Creek WWTP Well Construction	Mid-Term (2-6 Years)				\$ 89,000	\$ 516,000						\$	605,000
SC-6	Seeley Creek WWTP Ancillary Systems Upgrade	Mid-Term (2-6 Years)				\$ 102,000	\$ 594,000						\$	696,000
HC-2	Nutrient Management Study	Mid-Term (2-6 Years)					\$ 304,000						\$	304,000
HC-5	Huston Creek Primary Clarifiers Structural Assessment	Mid-Term (2-6 Years)					\$ 228,000						\$	228,000
HC-6	Huston Creek Primary Clarifier Replacement	Long-Term (7+ Years)						\$ 1,065,000	\$ 1,554,000	\$ 1,601,000	\$ 1,649,000	\$ 1,698,000	\$	7,567,000
	District Total		\$ 1,328,000	\$ 2,666,000	\$ 1,900,000	\$ 4,874,000	\$ 1,642,000	\$ 1,065,000	\$ 1,554,000	\$1,601,000	\$1,649,000	\$1,698,000	\$	19,977,000
leghorn \	WWTP Project Recommendations (State Funded)													
CL-1	Cleghorn WWTP Concrete Structures Rehabilitation	Immediate Works (0-2 Years)	\$ 323,000										\$	323,000
CL-2	Cleghorn WWTP Sludge Wasting and Clarifier Upgrades	Mid-Term (2-6 Years)			\$ 34,000	\$ 195,000							\$	229,000
CL-3	Cleghorn WWTP Oxidation Ditch Upgrade	Mid-Term (2-6 Years)				\$ 144,000	\$ 839,000						\$	983,000
CL-4	Cleghorn WWTP Headworks Upgrade	Mid-Term (2-6 Years)					\$ 444,000	\$ 2,592,000					\$	3,036,000
CL-5	Cleghorn WWTP On-Site Generation System Upgrade	Long-Term (7+ Years)								\$ 22,000	\$ 127,000		\$	149,000
	Cleghorn Total (State Funded)		\$ 323,000	\$ -	\$ 34,000	\$ 339,000	\$ 1,283,000	\$ 2,592,000	\$ -	\$ 22,000	\$ 127,000	\$ -	\$	4,720,000
	Combined Total (State & District Funded)		\$ 1,651,000	\$ 2,666,000	\$ 1,934,000	\$ 5,213,000	\$ 2,925,000	\$ 3,657,000	\$ 1,554,000	\$1,623,000	\$1,776,000	\$1,698,000	\$:	24,697,000



INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



Based on the recommended CIP, the District requires approximately \$25 million over the next 10 years for infrastructure improvements, distributed between 20 planned projects. The largest proportion of infrastructure improvements are anticipated to be for the Huston Creek and Seeley Creek WWTPs, which are the largest District facilities. Nine new projects have been identified since the 2018 Master Plan, of which six have been prioritized as Immediate Works (0-2 years) and require near-term attention. In total, nine of the planned projects are recommended to be initiated within the next two years, the majority of which are located within Huston Creek WWTP and Seeley Creek WWTP. This may present a challenge for staff to administrate and manage this many projects in a short period of time, therefore, the District may consider consolidating multiple projects that involve similar professional services expertise to reduce administrative burdens and consolidate the number of consultants and contractors required for project implementation.

2.1 Deferred Projects

Of the remaining projects from the 2018 Master plan, one project was specifically re-prioritized and deferred to a lower priority to allow for new, higher priority projects to be implemented first. The project that has been re-prioritized and deferred to future years is:

HC-6: Huston Creek WWTP Primary Clarifier Replacement. This project is intended to replace the two existing primary clarifiers after the new primary clarifier is put online. Based on discussions with the District, this project can be deferred with maintenance to the existing equipment to allow for higher priority projects to be implemented first.

2.1 Aborted Projects

Two projects from the 2018 Master plan have been removed from the recommended 10-year CIP due to regulatory uncertainty. The projects that have been removed from the recommended CIP and may be re-considered at a later date include:

- HC-7: Huston Creek WWTP Biological Treatment Upgrade: This project is intended to upgrade the Huston Creek WWTP biological treatment process to comply with anticipated future regulatory updates, specifically for nutrient removal. Currently, there is not a known timeline for regulatory changes. A nutrient management study (HC-2) is recommended to evaluate options in anticipation of future regulatory changes, however, these changes are not anticipated to be enacted within the 10-year CIP timeframe.
- SC-8: Seeley Creek WWTP Emergency Storage Pond: The design for this project was previously completed, however, the District has not received approval from the Regional Board and the US Forest Service to complete this project. The project can be implemented if approval is obtained. At this time, it is uncertain if the project will ever be approved for implementation.

2.2 New Projects

Since the 2018 Master Plan, new projects have been identified during workshops with key District staff and added to the CIP based on recent developments and infrastructure needs. Each of the new projects identified which were not previously included in the 2018 Master Plan are outlined below, including a description of the project drivers and project priority.



2.2.1 HC-1: Huston Creek Retaining Wall

The retaining wall extending from the secondary sludge pump room north toward the emergency storage tank is observed to be in poor condition and failing. Continued failure and erosion of the retaining wall due to storm events could undermine the access road and potentially the secondary sludge pump room itself. These failures could have major consequences to maintaining treatment and access to this area of the plant, and therefore needs to be addressed as a top priority project.

2.2.2 CS-1: Collection System Flow Data Analysis and Hydraulic Model Updates

The District has captured years of flow data from the collection system after installing flow meters in their system to monitor inflow and infiltration. However, the data has not yet been analyzed to determine the extent of I/I and whether or not the District's ongoing CIPP rehabilitation projects have has a meaningful impact on I/I reduction in the collection system. In addition to needing to analyze the recent 5 years' worth of flow data, the District needs to update the hydraulic model created with the 2018 Master Plan, evaluate the I/I reduction progress and asses where additional pipe rehabilitation is needed to reduce I/I to acceptable levels. I/I reduction is a continued high priority objective for the District, and therefore, updating the District's flow data analysis and locating areas where I/I is still an issue will allow the District to better focus investment in sliplining and achieve their I/I reduction goals.

2.2.3 SC-1: Seeley Creek WWTP Access Road Assessment

During the 2022 winter storms, Crestline experienced heavy rain and snow, the likes of which it hasn't seen in many years. The erosion caused by the storms are believed to have undermined and damaged areas of the access road infrastructure. Repairing and replacing the damaged access road is a high priority for the District since it is the only entrance and egress available to the plant. The assessment project would provide a detailed assessment of damages and improvements needed for the road and develop a plan for the repairs. Final design and construction of improvements would be completed as a second project.

2.2.4 HC-4: Huston Creek WWTP Access Road Replacement

Like Seeley Creek WWTP, the access road at Huston Creek WWTP was also damaged during the winter 2022 storms and had additional wear and tear from heavy construction equipment accessing the site for the ongoing Dewatering Building and Primary Clarifier project. After completion of the project, the access road will need to be repaired and repaved. This project is a high priority and is recommended for FY25.

2.2.5 SC-7: Seeley Creek WWTP Primary Clarifier Upgrade

The District has identified erosion and concerning soil conditions on the north side of the Seeley Creek WWTP Primary Clarifier. Recently, the primary clarifier skimmer arm has misaligned or become crooked and needs to be repaired. Staff are concerned this may have something to do with erosion undermining the structure. The structure should be inspected to determine if any differential settlement has occurred due to the erosion of the slope on the side of the clarifier. Due to the nature of the concerns, this is a high priority project that is recommended for investigation in FY25 and improvements, if necessary, in FY26.



2.2.6 SC-5: Seeley Creek WWTP Access Road Replacement

Following the Access Road assessment project, it is recommended to design and construct necessary improvements to the Seeley Creek WWTP Access Road as a high priority project. For planning purposes, this project is recommended for FY26.

2.2.7 HC-2: Nutrient Removal Study

The WWTP's currently do not have a nitrogen discharge limit in their Waste Discharge Requirements permit with the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB). However, it is expected that when Crestline's permit is eventually renewed, the RWQCB is likely to impose a 10 mg/L total nitrogen discharge limit for the District's effluent, similar to other local agencies such as Lake Arrowhead CSD. It is recommended that the District begin to study nutrient management alternatives, including the feasibility of performing nitrogen removal at each of their WWTP's or at a centralized location at Las Flores Ranch. Upon completion of the study, it is recommended that the District share the results and conclusions with the RWQCB, and proactively implement nutrient reduction measures, to the extent practical, ahead of future regulatory changes. This project is a medium priority project recommended for FY29.

2.2.8 HC-5: Huston Creek WWTP Primary Clarifiers Structural Assessment

The existing Huston Creek WWTP primary clarifiers were constructed in 1950. The existing clarifiers are at risk of failure from structural and geotechnical conditions, as the structures are over 70 years old and were constructed with thin (4") walls and a single layer of reinforcement. With the new primary clarifier in service, the existing clarifiers can be shut down and dewatered to perform a structural inspection and assessment to determine the feasibility of rehabilitation of the clarifiers or if full replacement is needed. This project is a medium priority project recommended for FY28.

2.2.9 HC-6: Huston Creek WWTP Primary Clarifiers Replacement

Depending on the outcomes and recommendations of the structural assessment project HC-5, section 2.2.8, the clarifiers will either need to be rehabilitated or be fully replaced. For the purposes of CIP planning, HC-6 was budgeted assuming full replacement of the two existing primary clarifiers due to their age and structural design. This project is a low priority project recommended for FY30 but is dependent on the outcome of HC-5.

3 Individual CIP Summary Sheets

Individual summary sheets of each project recommended in the District's 10-year CIP are included in the following pages. Refer to Figure 1 for the overall summary table and recommended scheduling for each project.



INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



Project No.	HC-1					
Project Name	Huston Creek WWTP Retaining Wall					
Description	The retaining wall extending from the secondary sludge pump room north toward the emergency storage tank is observed to be in poor condition and failing. Continued failure and erosion of the retaining wall due to storm events could undermine the access road and potentially the secondary sludge pump room itself. These failures could have major consequences to mainining treatment and access to this area of the plant, and therefore, needs to be addressed as a top priority project.					
Priority	Immediate Works (0-2 Years)					
Project Need		1				
Reliability	X Process Performance	-				
Capacity	Regulatory					
District Policy & Goals						
Project Cost						
Construction Cost		1				
Estimated Construction C	ost	\$	300,000			
Soft Costs						
Classification 'B'						
Engineering & Permitting	10% of construction cost	\$	30,000			
CM & ESDC	18% of construction cost	\$	54,000			
Administration	3% of construction cost	\$	9,000			
Soft Cost Subtotal		\$	93,000			
Contingency						
Contingency	35% of project cost	\$	138,000			
Total Project Cost		\$	531,000			
<u> </u>						

Project No. CS-1

Project No.	<u>CS-1</u>					
Project Name	Collection System Flow Data Analysis and Hy	draulic Model Upd	ates			
Description	The District has captured years of flow data from the collection system after installing flow meters in their system to monitor inflow and infiltration. This data has not yet been analyzed to determine the extent of I/I and whether or not the District's ongoing CIPP rehabilitation projects have been meaningfully reducing I/I in the collection system. The District needs an engineering firm to analyze the recent 5 years worth of flow data and udpate the hydraulic model created with the 2018 Master Plan and prepare a report on I/I reduction progress as well as where additional pipe rehabilitation is needed to reduce I/I to acceptable levels. Recommended Project: Perform an engineering study including collection system flow data analysis, a sewer hydraulic model update, and provide recommendations for collection system areas which the District should focus on for annual CCTV inspections and CIPP rehabilitation projects.					
Priority	Immediate Works (0-2 Years)					
Project Need			_			
Reliability	X Process Perform	nance X				
Capacity	X Regulatory	X				
District Policy & Goals	X					
Project Cost						
Construction Cost						
Estimated Construction C	st		N/A			
Soft Costs						
Project Specific						
Engineering & Permitting			\$	100,000		
CM & ESDC			N/A			
Administration			N/A			
Soft Cost Subtotal			\$ 1	00,000		
Contingency						
Contingency	35% of engineering cost		\$	35,000		
Total Project Cost			\$ 1	35,000		
ă .			-			

Project No. SC-1

Project No.	3C-1					
Project Name	Seeley Creek WWTP Access Road Assessment					
Description	Staff have expressed concerns regarding the condition of the access road to the Seeley Creek WWTP. Previous large storms have affected the access road and an assessment is required to understand potential damages or areas of roadway that need to be repaired for safe vehicle access.					
	Recommended Project: Perform a roadway assessment to identify areas of repair needs and define a preliminary scope of work for roadway improvements.					
Priority	Immediate Works (0-2 Years)					
Project Need						
Reliability	X Process Performance					
Capacity	Regulatory					
District Policy & Goals		_				
Project Cost						
Construction Cost						
Estimated Construction C	ost	N/A				
Soft Costs						
Project Specific						
Engineering & Permitting		\$	70,000			
CM & ESDC		N/A				
Administration		N/A				
Soft Cost Subtotal		\$	70,000			
Contingency						
Contingency	35% of engineering cost	\$	25,000			
Total Project Cost		\$	95,000			
		<u> </u>	,			

Total Project Cost

HC-2 Project No. **Project Name Nutrient Management Study** Description None of the Crestline WWTP's currently have a nitrogen discharge limit in their Waste Discharge Requirements permit with the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB). However, it is expected that when Crestline's permit is eventually renewed, the RWQCB will impose a 10 mg/L total nitrogen discharge limit for the District's effluent, similar to other local agencies such as Lake Arrowhead CSD. It is recommended that the District begin to study nutrient management alternatives, including the feasibility of performing nitrogen removal at each of their WWTP's or at a centralized location at Las Flores Ranch. The goal of the study is to identify a cost-effective approach to managing nutrient removal requirements expected with the future permit update. Upon completion of the study, it is recommended that the District share the results and plan with the RWQCB and work toward proactively implementing nutrent reduction measures ahead of future regulatory changes. Recommended Project: Prepare a Nutrient Management Study **Priority** Mid-Term (2-6 Years) **Project Need** Process Performance Reliability Capacity Regulatory Χ District Policy & Goals Χ **Project Cost Construction Cost Estimated Construction Cost** N/A **Soft Costs** Project Specific \$ 225,000 **Engineering & Permitting** CM & ESDC N/A Administration N/A Soft Cost Subtotal \$ 225,000 Contingency \$ Contingency 35% of engineering cost 79,000

\$

304,000

Project No. SC-2

Project No.					
Project Name	Seeley Creel	k WWTP Primary Clarifier Condition Ass	essment		
Description	Seeley Creek out of alignm	nas identified erosion and concerning soils WWTP Primary Clarifier. Recently, the prin nent and needs to be repaired. The struture ial settlement has occurred due to the eros	nary clarifier sk e should be ins	kimmer arı spected to	m has gotten determine i
	Recommend Perform a str	<u>ed Project</u> : uctural assessement and erosion control s	tudy for the Se	eeley Cree	k WWTP
		fier. The study should recommend erosion ts that may be necessary to protect the str		ures and/o	r structural
Priority	Immediate W	/orks (0-2 Years)			
Project Need		· · · · ·			
Reliability	X	Process Performance			
Capacity		Regulatory			
District Policy & Goals					
Project Cost					
Construction Cost					
Estimated Construction	Cost			N/A	
Soft Costs					
Classification 'C'					
Engineering & Permitting				\$	105,000
CM & ESDC				N/A	
Administration				N/A	
Soft Cost Subtotal				\$	105,000
Contingency					
Contingency	35%	of engineering cost		\$	37,000
Total Project Cost				\$	142,000

Project No. HC-3

Project No.	HC-3				
Project Name	Houston Creek WWTP Ongoing Facility Safety Upgrades				
Description	Several failure modes at Houston Creek WWTP pose a safety risk to plant operations and maintenance staff. Structural failure of interior CMU walls in the chlorine contact basin, structural failure of the secondary effluent box, and grating failure in the headworks need to be addressed. Staff has stated that there are ongoing projects to address these failure modes. The ongoing project has the following general scope:				
	Project: Repair damaged concrete and corrosion on secondary effluent be of CMU interior walls of chlorine contact basin with concrete mor replace interior walls with new concrete. Repair damaged grating concrete in influent channels or replace influent channel grating a condition.	tar or cedar supports an	wood or d rehabilitate		
Priority Project Need	Immediate Works (0-2 Years)				
Reliability	X Process Performance				
Capacity	Regulatory	\dashv			
District Policy & Goals	X	_			
Project Cost					
Construction Cost					
Estimated Construction C	ost	\$	215,000		
Soft Costs					
Classification 'C'					
Engineering & Permitting	15% of construction cost	\$	32,000		
CM & ESDC	20% of construction cost	\$	43,000		
Administration	5% of construction cost	\$	11,000		
Soft Cost Subtotal		\$	86,000		
Contingency					
Contingency	35% of project cost	\$	105,000		
Total Project Cost		\$	406,000		
l					

Project No.	HC-4					
Project Name	Houston Creek WWTP Access Road Replacement					
Description	The Huston Creek WWTP Access Road is in poor condition following new dewatering building and primary clarifier. The access road needs paved to provide safe vehicle access to the WWTP.					
	Recommended Project:					
	Perform area-specfic road improvements where slope stability and/o	r draina	ge is a concern.			
	Provide new paving and guardrails as needed to restore safe access road conditions. Ac road is approximately 3,600 feet and assumed 12' wide lane.					
Priority	Immediate Works (0-2 Years)					
Project Need		1				
Reliability	X Process Performance					
Capacity	Regulatory					
District Policy & Goals						
Project Cost						
Construction Cost		Ι.				
Estimated Construction C	ost	\$	1,411,000			
Soft Costs						
Classification 'B'	400/ 6	٠,	444.000			
Engineering & Permitting	10% of construction cost	\$	141,000			
CM & ESDC Administration	18% of construction cost 3% of construction cost	\$ \$	254,000 42,000			
Auministration	5% of construction cost	D	42,000			
Soft Cost Subtotal		\$	437,000			
Contingency						
Contingency	35% of project cost	\$	647,000			
Total Project Cost		\$	2,496,000			

Project No. SC-3

Project No.	SC-3						
Project Name	Seeley Creek WWTP Primary ODS Electrical Upgrade						
Description	An old but reliable single-duty ODS-style primary sludge pump sends primary sludge to t						
	old holding tank. Conduit has failed, causing failure when water from the panel.	n lawn infi	Itrates into				
	Recommended Project:						
	Replace panel and conduit.						
Priority	Immediate Works (0-2 Years)						
Project Need		7					
Reliability	X Process Performance X	_					
Capacity	Regulatory						
District Policy & Goals							
Project Cost							
Construction Cost							
Estimated Construction C	Cost	\$	141,000				
Soft Costs							
Classification 'C'							
Engineering & Permitting	15% of construction cost	\$	21,000				
CM & ESDC	20% of construction cost	\$	28,000				
Administration	5% of construction cost	\$	7,000				
Soft Cost Subtotal		\$	56,000				
Contingency							
Contingency	35% of project cost	\$	69,000				
Total Project Cost		\$	266,000				
			•				

SC-4 Project No.

Project No.	SC-4				
Project Name	Seeley Creek WWTP We	ell Construction			
Description	filter, media filter, water signeration skid as supply unit capable of 100 lb/d of treated with a small RO of Chlorine is manually dose Recommended Project: Drill well for reliable water	fluent first goes through a wye coftener, carbon filter, UV, RO, water. The skid consists of or chlorine dose. Salt bags are storic to produce water for mixtued, day-to-day operation. No ear supply to reduce risk of failudell can be drilled adjacent to cration equipment.	then into the ne Micro-Chlo ored at the facure with the satisficient flow notes from the market from the marke	on-site or on-site cility. Plan ilt in a br neter is in	chlorine generation nt effluent is ine tank. nstalled. of water
Priority	Mid-Term (2-6 Years)				
Project Need				1	
Reliability	X	Process Performance	X		
Capacity		Regulatory	X		
District Policy & Goals					
Project Cost					
Construction Cost					
Estimated Construction C	est			\$	336,000
Soft Costs					
Classification 'B'					
Engineering & Permitting	10% of construct	ion cost		\$	34,000
CM & ESDC	18% of construct			\$	60,000
Administration	5% of construct	ion cost		\$	17,000
Soft Cost Subtotal				\$	111,000
Contingency					
Contingency	35% of project co	ost		\$	156,000
Total Project Cost				\$	604,000

Project No. LS-1

Project No.	LS-1				
Project Name	Lake Gregor	y Wet Well Capacity Upgrade			
Description	measures are lack of emerg backup force	gory pump station wet well is under-sized in place to compensate for a limited hydrogency storage. Mitigation measures already main, generator, automatic transfer switch standpipe for full lift station bypass pump	raulic capacity y in place to a n, battery bacl	of the w	et well and oill include a
	assumes app Recommend	ed Project: Dergency storage capacity to allow for additional control of below-grade this project if and when additional connect ther decrease wet well detention time.	emergency st	orage ca _l	pacity.
Priority Project Need	Mid-Term (2-			1	
Reliability Capacity District Policy & Goals	X	Process Performance Regulatory	X		
Project Cost					
Construction Cost					
Estimated Construction C	Cost			\$	428,000
Soft Costs					
Classification 'C'					
Engineering & Permitting	15%	of construction cost		\$	64,000
CM & ESDC		of construction cost		\$	86,000
Administration	5%	of construction cost		\$	21,000
Soft Cost Subtotal				\$	171,000
Contingency					
Contingency	35%	of project cost		\$	210,000
Total Project Cost				\$	808,000

Project No. SC-5

Project No.	3C-5						
Project Name	Seeley Creek	WWTP Access Road Replacement					
Description	Based on the	Based on the outcomes of the Seeley Creek WWTP Access Road Assessment, road repairs					
	and/or replac	and/or replacement is expected to bring the access road back to safe driving conditions.					
	Recommende	-					
		Perform area-specfic road improvements where slope stability and/or drainage is a conce					
		rovide new paving and guardrails as needed to restore safe access road conditions. Road ength is approximately 6,200 feet at assumed 12' wide lane.					
	ierigui is app	igth is approximately 6,200 feet at assumed 12 wide falle.					
Priority	Mid-Term (2-	-6 Years)					
Project Need	,	,					
Reliability	X	Process Performance					
Capacity		Regulatory					
District Policy & Goals		19.14.7					
Project Cost							
Construction Cost							
Estimated Construction C	Cost			\$	2,645,000		
Soft Costs							
Classification 'B'							
Engineering & Permitting	10%	of construction cost		\$	265,000		
CM & ESDC		of construction cost		\$	476,000		
Administration	3%	of construction cost		\$	79,000		
Soft Cost Subtotal				\$	820,000		
				· *	220,000		
Contingency							
Contingency	35%	of project cost		\$	1,213,000		
Total Project Cost				\$	4,677,000		

Contingency

Total Project Cost

HC-5 Project No. **Project Name Huston Creek Primary Clarifiers Structural Assessment** Description The existing Huston Creek WWTP Primary clarifiers were constructed in 1950. The exising clarifiers are at risk of failure from structural and geotechnical conditions, as the structures are over 70 years old and were constructed with thin (4") thick walls. With the new primary clarifier in service, the existing clarifiers can be shut down and dewatered to perform a structural inspection and assessment to determine the feasibility of rehabilitation of the clarifiers or if full replacement is needed. **Recommended Project:** Perform a structural inspection and assessment of the two existing primary clarifiers to determine the condition of the existing structure and equipment, and provide recommendations for rehabilitation and/or replacement. **Priority** Mid-Term (2-6 Years) **Project Need Process Performance** Reliability Χ Χ Capacity Χ Regulatory District Policy & Goals **Project Cost Construction Cost Estimated Construction Cost** N/A **Soft Costs** Project Specific \$ 169,000 **Engineering & Permitting** CM & ESDC N/A Administration N/A Soft Cost Subtotal \$ 169,000 Contingency

35% of engineering cost

\$

\$

59,000

228,000

SC-6 Project No.

Project No.	SC-6				
Project Name	Seeley Creek	WWTP Ancillary Systems Upgrade			
Plant compressed air is delivered to processes as-needed by local compressors around plant. The system used to be one, large compressor, but underground air piping failed the larger system was abandoned. High pressure effluent (HPE) feeds plant water for multiple uses around the plant. HPE is used for irrigation, hose bibs, feed water for one chlorine generation, and other uses. There is currently an HPE piping leak at an unide location(s). Recommended Project: Establish permanent solutions for ex. smaller compressors. Locate leak, if possible, are replace HPE line(s) with new pipe. Consider installing pipe in an accessible concrete the with trench plates for easier access for maintenance. Replace air compressor, and air and install a vault				ing failed and rater for er for on-site an unidentified sible, and ncrete trench	
Priority Project Need Reliability Capacity District Policy & Goals	Mid-Term (2-	6 Years) Process Performance Regulatory	X		
Project Cost					
Construction Cost					
Estimated Construction C	Cost			\$	368,000
Soft Costs					
Classification 'C'					
Engineering & Permitting	15%	of construction cost		\$	55,000
CM & ESDC		of construction cost		\$	74,000
Administration	5% (of construction cost		\$	18,000
Soft Cost Subtotal				\$	147,000
Contingency					
Contingency	35%	of project cost		\$	180,000
Total Project Cost				\$	696,000

Project No. SC-7

Project No.	3C-1			
Project Name	Seeley Creek Primary Clarifier Upgrade			
Description	The District has identified erosion and concerning soils conditions on the north side of the Seeley Creek WWTP Primary Clarifier. Recently, the primary clarifier skimmer arm has gotten out of alignment and needs to be repaired. The structure should be inspected to determine if any differential settlement has occurred due to the erosion of the slope on the side of the clarifier.			
	Recommended Project: Based on the outcomes of the structural assessment, construct imprimary clarifier that may include structural rehabilitation / reinforce and slope stability improvements, and mechanical equipment replacements.	ement, erd		
Priority Project Need Reliability	Immediate Works (0-2 Years) X Process Performance			
Capacity District Policy & Goals	Regulatory			
Project Cost				
Construction Cost				
Estimated Construction C	ost	\$	539,000	
Soft Costs				
Classification 'C'				
Engineering & Permitting	15% of construction cost	\$	81,000	
CM & ESDC	20% of construction cost	\$	108,000	
Administration	5% of construction cost	\$	27,000	
Soft Cost Subtotal		\$	216,000	
Contingency				
Contingency	35% of project cost	\$	264,000	
Total Project Cost		\$	1,019,000	

Project No. HC-6

Project No.	HC-6				
Project Name	Huston Cree	k Primary Clarifier Replacement			
Description	Depending on the outcomes of the structural assessment of the existing primary clarifiers, the clarifiers will either need rehabilitation or be fully replaced. For the purposes of CIP budgeting, we recommend the District anticipate full replacement of the two existing primary clarifiers due to their age and structrual design. Additionally, the clarifiers were originally Imhoff-style, so they have side slopes that reduce their volume and performance relative to modern clarifier design.				
	should also a	two existing 70+ year old primary clarifiers address rehabilitation and/or replacement the clarifiers to bring the structure and spare	of to the exist	ing oper	ations building
Priority	Long-Term (7	7+ Years)			
Project Need					
Reliability	X	Process Performance	X		
Capacity	X	Regulatory			
District Policy & Goals					
Project Cost					
Construction Cost					
Estimated Construction C	Cost			\$	4,004,000
Soft Costs					
Classification 'C'					
Engineering & Permitting	15%	of construction cost		\$	601,000
CM & ESDC		of construction cost		\$	801,000
Administration	5%	of construction cost		\$	200,000
Soft Cost Subtotal				\$	1,602,000
Contingency					
Contingency	35%	of project cost		\$	1,962,000
Total Project Cost				\$	7,567,000
June 1				•	

Total Project Cost

Project No.	CL-1			
Project Name	Cleghorn WWTP Concrete Structures Rehabilitation			
Description	Oxidation ditch is an extended-aeration activated sludge process, which is a simple process designed with a long SRT designed to remove BOD. Liner is peeling, and bubbling out, and increasing corrosion potential to the concrete once it's breached. Basin discharge side of ditch experincing corrosion and degradation, especially in the "splash zone" by the aerator. Single-duty circular secondary clarifier functions to capture and settle sloughed solids from the trickling filter and return them to the primary clarifier. Liner is peeling off. Recommended Project: Remove failed oxidation ditch and secondary clarifier liners, inspect structural integrity, rehab concrete, reline. Perform concrete rehab on basin discharge side of ditch.			
	concrete, reline. Perform concrete rehab on basin discharge	e side of dit	cn.	
Priority	Immediate Works (0-2 Years)			
Project Need				
Reliability	X Process Performance			
Capacity	Regulatory			
District Policy & Goals				
Project Cost				
Construction Cost				
Estimated Construction C	ost		\$	171,000
Soft Costs				
Classification 'C'				
Engineering & Permitting	15% of construction cost		\$	26,000
CM & ESDC	20% of construction cost		\$	34,000
Administration	5% of construction cost		\$	9,000
Soft Cost Subtotal			\$	69,000
Contingency				
Contingency	35% of project cost		\$	84,000
	· -			

\$

323,000

Duianita

Project No. CL-2 **Project Name Cleghorn WWTP Sludge Wasting and Clarifier Upgrades** Description Cleghorn has a single duty racetrack-style oxidation ditch with a single mechanical brush aerator. Little to no process control exists for the activated sludge. DO is monitored but not used as a control parameter, and RAS pumps operate on a timer. Activated sludge wasting functions to remove aged biomass from the activated sludge for biomass control. Currently, no infrastructure mechanism is in place to facilitate sludge wasting, other than to manually draw off the RAS line and fill a tanker truck, which hauls the waste sludge to Houston Creek. This wasting process currently occurs approximately two times per year. Recommended Project: Construct a sludge handling facility near the RAS pump station to allow for more consistent and reliable wasting operations. Construct a redundant RAS pump and pipe gallery configuration to facilitate wasting.

Priority	Mid-Term (2-6 Y	ears)	
Project Need			
Reliability	X	Process Performance	X
Capacity		Regulatory	
District Policy & Goals			
Project Cost			

Mid Torm (2 6 Voors)

Project Cost		
Construction Cost		
Estimated Construction Cost		\$ 121,000
Soft Costs		
Classification 'C'		
Engineering & Permitting	15% of construction cost	\$ 18,000
CM & ESDC	20% of construction cost	\$ 24,000
Administration	5% of construction cost	\$ 6,000
Soft Cost Subtotal		\$ 48,000
Contingency		
Contingency	35% of project cost	\$ 59,000
Total Project Cost		\$ 228,000

CL-3 Project No. **Project Name Cleghorn WWTP Oxidation Ditch Upgrade** Description Oxidation ditch is an extended-aeration activated sludge process, which is a simple process designed with a long SRT. The activated sludge process is designed to remove BOD. Cleghorn has a single-duty racetrack-style oxidation ditch with a single mechanical brush aerator. It is powered by a 30-hp motor horizontally mounted across the width of the track. The brush aerator acts to mix, maintan velocity, and entrain DO into the activated sludge in the ditch. **Recommended Project:** Add a second aerator for redundancy. Alternatively, consider changing aeration technology. Single-duty critical equipment carries high risk even when mitigation is in place. **Priority** Mid-Term (2-6 Years) **Project Need Process Performance** Reliability Χ Χ Capacity Regulatory District Policy & Goals

Project Cost		
Construction Cost		
Estimated Construction Cost		\$ 520,000
Soft Costs		
Classification 'C'		
Engineering & Permitting	15% of construction cost	\$ 78,000
CM & ESDC	20% of construction cost	\$ 104,000
Administration	5% of construction cost	\$ 26,000
Soft Cost Subtotal		\$ 208,000
Contingency		
Contingency	35% of project cost	\$ 255,000
Total Project Cost		\$ 983,000

Project No. CL-4

Total Project Cost

Project No.	CL-4				
Project Name	Cleghorn WW	/TP Headworks Upgrade			
Description	channel Muffir levels of rags, through the 2- manually show the oxidation of operated, and control over the	flows through one bar screen, with 2-inch in Monster unit before flowing into the oxidebris, clothes, and other items found at a cinch bar screen. No engineered grit removeled out of the influent channels and typic ditch. Spills could occur during high flow of maintaned by the State. Therefore, the Dine influent characteristics. Influent tends to dumps, wet weather, holidays, and other the state.	dation ditch. a campsite, so val process e cally settles c conditions. Co strict has lim o vary widely	Influent come of whexists at the out near the ollection system information.	ontains higher ich can make it e plant. Grit is e screens or in ystem is owned, nation and
	screen. Install or install new t	d Project: n Monster and install an automatic screen grit removal system. If hydraulic capacity i upsized channels. New screenings and grit em to account for hydraulic head losses th	ssue exists, e t removal wil	nlarge infl I likely req	uent channels uire low-head
Priority	Mid-Term (2-6	5 Years)			
Project Need					
Reliability	X	Process Performance	Χ		
Capacity	X	Regulatory			
District Policy & Goals					
Project Cost					
Construction Cost					
Estimated Construction C	Cost			\$	1,606,000
Soft Costs					
Classification 'C'					
Engineering & Permitting	15% o	f construction cost		\$	241,000
CM & ESDC		f construction cost		\$	321,000
Administration	5% o	f construction cost		\$	80,000
Soft Cost Subtotal				\$	642,000
Contingency					
Contingency	35% o	f project cost		\$	787,000

\$

3,035,000

Project No. CL-5

Project No.	CL-5				
Project Name	Cleghorn W	WTP On-Site Generation System Upgra	de		
Description	are stored at Chlorine is m failure could no control or Recommend	eration equipment consists of 1 Micro-Ch the facility. Potable water used for mixtur- lanually dosed day-to-day. Residual testing occur under a multitude of points, including flow-pacing. ed Project: ing Micro-Chlor OSG unit.	e with the salt g is done via <u>c</u>	in a brine grab sample	tank. e. Skid
Priority	Long-Term (7+ Years)			
Project Need	J .	,			
Reliability	X	Process Performance	X]	
Capacity		Regulatory		1	
District Policy & Goals		,		1	
Project Cost					
Construction Cost					
Estimated Construction C	ost			\$	96,000
Soft Costs					
Classification 'D'					
Engineering & Permitting	5%	of construction cost		\$	5,000
CM & ESDC		of construction cost		\$	5,000
Administration	5%	of construction cost		\$	5,000
Soft Cost Subtotal				\$	15,000
Contingency					
Contingency	35%	of project cost		\$	39,000